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This report was prepared by Potomac Economics (the contractor) in the course of performing work contracted for and sponsored by RGGI, Inc. on behalf of states participating in RGGI (Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont). The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of RGGI, Inc. or any of the states participating in RGGI, and reference to any specific product, service, process, or method does not constitute an implied or expressed recommendation or endorsement of it. Further, RGGI, Inc., the states participating in RGGI, and the contractor make no warranties or representations, expressed or implied, as to the fitness for particular purpose or merchantability of any product, apparatus, or service, or the usefulness, completeness, or accuracy of any processes, methods, or other information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report. RGGI, Inc., the states participating in RGGI, and the contractor make no representation that the use of any product, apparatus, process, method, or other information will not infringe privately owned rights and will assume no liability for any loss, injury, or damage resulting from, or occurring in connection with, the use of information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report.

The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) is the first mandatory market-based regulatory program in the U.S. to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. RGGI is a cooperative effort of Northeast and Mid-Atlantic states to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) from the power sector.

RGGI, Inc. is a non-profit corporation created to provide technical and administrative services to the states participating in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.
As the Market Monitor for the RGGI CO₂ allowance market, Potomac Economics monitors the conduct of market participants in the auctions and in the secondary market to identify indications of market manipulation or collusion. We also review the administration of the auctions by EnerNOC, Inc. This report summarizes our findings regarding RGGI Auction 30, which was held on December 2, 2015.

We observed the auction as it occurred and have completed our review and analysis of its results. Based on our review of bids in the auction, we find no material evidence of collusion or manipulation by bidders.

Fifty-one bidders participated in the offering of CO₂ allowances. Bids were submitted to purchase 3.0 times the available supply of allowances, resulting in a clearing price of $7.50 per ton. Compliance-oriented entities purchased 23 percent of the allowances in the offering. There was no indication of barriers to participation in the auction.

Based on our review of the administration of the market, we found that:

- The auction was administered in a fair and transparent manner in accordance with the noticed auction procedures and limitations.
- The auction results were consistent with the market rules and the bids received.
- Sensitive information was treated appropriately by the auction administrator.
- There were no indications of issues with the auction platform such as hardware or software problems, communications issues, or security breaches.

In summary, the results of our monitoring of RGGI Auction 30 raise no material concerns regarding the auction process, barriers to participation in the auction, or the competitiveness of the auction results. The appendix provides additional information about the market for RGGI CO₂ allowances and outcomes of the auction.
APPENDIX

A. DISPERSION OF PROJECTED DEMAND

The wide dispersion of projected demand for RGGI allowances across compliance entities facilitates the competitive performance of the auction.

The following figure shows the relative shares of projected demand for RGGI allowances by compliance entity in the current control period. The largest compliance entity represents only 15 percent of the total projected demand for allowances. Nearly half of the projected demand is composed of entities that each account for less than 5 percent of the total demand. Participation by a large number of entities facilitates the competitive performance of the auction.

Figure 1: Projected Demand for RGGI Allowances Shares by Compliance Entity
B. SUMMARY OF PURCHASES OF ALLOWANCES IN AUCTION 30

Awards were distributed across 24 bidders with five bidders purchasing one million tons or more and ten bidders purchasing 300,000 tons or more.

This report summarizes participation by compliance entities and their affiliates. In Auction 30, compliance entities and their affiliates purchased 80 percent of the allowances sold. In the first 30 RGGI auctions, all compliance entities and their affiliates purchased 77 percent of the allowances sold.

We also separately report the purchases and holdings of allowances for:

- **Compliance-Oriented Entities**: This includes most compliance entities and their affiliates. This category excludes firms that have compliance obligations but which hold a number of allowances that exceeds their estimated compliance obligations by a margin that suggests they are also buying for re-sale or some other investment purpose.

- **Other Entities**: This includes all other entities.

The following statistics summarize the purchases and holdings of allowances by type of participant under the RGGI program:

- In Auction 30, compliance-oriented entities purchased 23 percent of the allowances sold.

- After settlement of allowances sold in Auction 30, 55 percent of the allowances in circulation will be held by firms that are believed to hold them for compliance purposes.

The following table shows the quantity of allowances purchased by each bidder. The identity of each bidder is masked, and the bidders are ranked according to the amount of allowances awarded, from largest to smallest.

---

1 In this report, affiliated firms are firms that: (i) have a parent-subsidiary relationship with a compliance entity, (ii) are subsidiaries of a parent company that has a large interest in a compliance entity, (iii) have substantial control over the operation of a budget source and/or responsibility for acquiring RGGI allowances to satisfy its compliance obligations.

2 The assessment of whether a compliance entity holds a number of allowances that exceeds its compliance obligations by a margin that suggests they are also buying for re-sale or some other investment purpose is based on: (a) the entity’s forecasted share of the total compliance obligations for the entire RGGI footprint through 2020 and (b) the total number of allowances in circulation.

3 The number of allowances that are believed to be held for compliance purposes includes 100 percent of the allowances held by compliance-oriented entities and a portion of allowances held by other compliance entities (i.e., compliance entities that are not included in the compliance-oriented category).
Table 1: Quantity of Allowances Awarded by Bidder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Number of Allowances Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 1</td>
<td>3,643,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 2</td>
<td>3,113,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 3</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 4</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 5</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 6</td>
<td>872,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 7</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 8</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 9</td>
<td>354,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 10</td>
<td>332,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 11</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 12</td>
<td>184,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 13</td>
<td>175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 14</td>
<td>155,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 15</td>
<td>153,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 16</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 17</td>
<td>106,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 18</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 19</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 20</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 21</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 22</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 23</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 24</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. DISPERSION OF BIDS IN AUCTION 30

Bids were submitted by 35 compliance-oriented entities and 16 other entities. In our review of the bids and the qualification process, we found no material evidence of anti-competitive conduct or inappropriate barriers to participation.

The following figure summarizes the quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted by each bidder. Three compliance-oriented entities and six other entities submitted bids for a large quantity of allowances (i.e., at least 2 million tons). Overall, compliance-oriented entities accounted for 34 percent of the quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted. The quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted was 3.0 times the available supply. In Auction 29, the quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted was 3.4 times the Initial Offering.

The bid quantities were widely distributed among the 51 bidders. The concentration of bids, using the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (“HHI”), is relatively low at 507, consistent with recent auctions. The HHI is a standard measure of concentration calculated by squaring each entity’s share and then summing the squares across all entities (i.e., the index ranges from 0 to 10,000).

![Figure 2: Quantity of Bids Submitted by Entity By Type of Entity and Quantity Bid](image)

- Number of Bidders: 51
- Compliance-Oriented Share of Bids: 34%
- Ratio of Bids to Supply (Initial): 3.0
- HHI of Bid Concentration: 507
D. SUMMARY OF BID PRICES IN AUCTION 30

Bids were submitted across a wide range of prices in the auction and the clearing price of $7.50 was relatively consistent with average bid prices submitted.

The following table reports several statistics regarding the bid prices for bids submitted in Auction 30. The median and mean bid prices are weighted by the quantity of each bid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid Prices:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$10.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Median)</td>
<td>$7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Mean)</td>
<td>$7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing Price:</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. NAMES OF POTENTIAL BIDDERS IN AUCTION 30

In accordance with Sections 2.8 and 3 of the Auction Notice for CO₂ Allowance Auction 30, the Participating States are releasing the names of Potential Bidders in Auction 30. The states defined potential bidders as: “Each Applicant that has been qualified and submitted a complete Intent to Bid.” The list of 57 Potential Bidders is as follows:

- Astoria Energy, LLC
- Biourja Nehme Commodities, LLC
- Blue Delta Energy, LLC
- Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogen Partners, LP
- Caithness Long Island, LLC
- Calpine Energy Services, LP
- Carbon Lighthouse Association
- Castleton Commodities Merchant Trading, LP
- Castleton Power, LLC
- CE2 Carbon Capital, LLC
- Consolidated Edison Comp. of NY, Inc.
- Delaware City Refining Company, LLC
- Delaware Municipal Electric Corp.
- Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC
- DRW Commodities, LLC
- DTE Energy Trading, Inc.
- EDF Trading North America, LLC
- Entergy Rhode Island State Energy, LP
- Essential Power, LLC
- Exelon Generation Company, LLC
- GDF SUEZ Energy Marketing NA, Inc.
- GenOn Energy Management, LLC
- Granite Ridge Energy, LLC
- Indeck Energy Serv. of Silver Springs
- Indeck-Corinth Limited Partnership
- Indeck-Olean Limited Partnership
- Indeck-Oswego Limited Partnership
- Indeck-Yerkes Limited Partnership
- Jamestown Board of Public Utilities
- J-Power USA Development Co., Ltd.
- Kendall Green Energy, LLC
- Kleen Energy Systems, LLC
- KMC Thermo, LLC
- Koch Supply & Trading, LP
- Luminus Energy Partners Master Fund, Ltd.
- Maxim Power (USA), Inc.
- Mercuria Energy America, Inc.
- Millennium Power Partners, LP
- Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc.
- National Grid Gen. dba National Grid
- New Athens Generating Company, LLC
- NextEra Energy Power Marketing, LLC
- Noble Americas Gas & Power Corp.
- NRG Power Marketing, LLC
- Old Dominion Electric Cooperative
- Power Authority of the State of New York
- PSEG Energy Resources & Trade, LLC
- Public Service Company of New Hampshire
- RBC
- Selkirk Cogen Partners, LP
- TransCanada Power Marketing, Ltd.
- Upstate New York Power Producers, LLC
- Verso Corporation
- Village of Freeport
- Vitol Inc.
- Wallingford Energy, LLC