The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) is the first mandatory market-based regulatory program in the U.S. to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. RGGI is a cooperative effort of New England and Mid-Atlantic states to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the power sector.

RGGI, Inc. is a non-profit corporation created to provide technical and administrative services to the states participating in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.
MARKET MONITOR REPORT FOR AUCTION 49

As the Market Monitor for the RGGI CO₂ allowance market, Potomac Economics monitors the conduct of market participants in the auctions and in the secondary market to identify indications of market manipulation or collusion. We also review the administration of the allowance auctions by Enel X. This report summarizes our findings regarding RGGI Auction 49, which was held on September 2, 2020.

We observed the auction as it occurred and have completed our review and analysis of its results. Based on our review of bids in the auction, we find no material evidence of collusion or manipulation by bidders.

Fifty-five bidders participated in the offering of CO₂ allowances. Bids were submitted to purchase 2.6 times the available supply of allowances, resulting in a clearing price of $6.82 per ton. Compliance-Oriented Entities purchased 66 percent of the allowances in the offering. There was no indication of barriers to participation in the auction.

Based on our review of the administration of the market, we found that:

- The auction was administered in a fair and transparent manner in accordance with the noticed auction procedures and limitations.
- The auction results were consistent with the market rules and the bids received.
- Sensitive information was treated appropriately by the auction administrator.
- There were no indications of issues with the auction platform such as hardware or software problems, communications issues, or security breaches.

In summary, the results of our monitoring of RGGI Auction 49 raise no material concerns regarding the auction process, barriers to participation in the auction, or the competitiveness of the auction results. The appendix provides additional information about the market for RGGI CO₂ allowances and outcomes of the auction.
APPENDIX

A. DISPERSION OF PROJECTED DEMAND

The wide dispersion of projected demand for RGGI allowances across compliance entities facilitates the competitive performance of the auction.

The demand for CO₂ allowances is based on the requirement for each compliance entity in the RGGI footprint to obtain one CO₂ allowance for each short ton of CO₂ that it emits from the sale of electricity. The following figure shows the relative shares of projected demand for RGGI allowances by compliance entity. The largest compliance entity represents only 12 percent of the total projected demand for allowances. Nearly half of the projected demand is composed of entities that each account for less than 4 percent of the total demand. Participation by a large number of entities facilitates the competitive performance of the auction.

Figure 1: Projected Demand for RGGI Allowances
Shares by Compliance Entity
B. SUMMARY OF PURCHASES OF ALLOWANCES IN AUCTION 49

Awards were distributed across 34 bidders with six bidders purchasing one million tons or more and 19 bidders purchasing 200,000 tons or more.

This report summarizes participation in Auction 49. Participation in the RGGI market involves many different firms with various interests in RGGI allowances. Some participate in order to satisfy compliance obligations, others have investment interests, and still others participate for both purposes. To more effectively track the activity of different participants, we use several classifications for participant firms. Figure 2 summarizes the relationship between these classifications.

Figure 2: Classifications of Participant Firms in the RGGI Marketplace

- **Compliance-Oriented Entities** are compliance entities that appear to acquire and hold allowances primarily to satisfy their compliance obligations.

- **Investors with Compliance Obligations** are firms that have compliance obligations but which hold a number of allowances that exceeds their estimated compliance obligations by a margin suggesting they also buy for re-sale or some other investment purpose. These firms often transfer significant quantities of allowances to unaffiliated firms.\(^1\)

---

\(^1\) The assessment of whether a compliance entity holds a number of allowances that exceeds its compliance obligations by a margin that suggests they are also buying for re-sale or some other investment purpose is based on: (a) the entity’s forecasted share of the total compliance obligations for the entire RGGI footprint through 2026, (b) the total number of allowances in circulation, and (c) consideration of the pattern of the entity’s allowance transfers to unaffiliated firms versus affiliated firms. Since the designation of a compliance entity as an investor is based on a review of its transactions and holdings, the designation of a particular firm may change over time as more information becomes available.
• *Investors without Compliance Obligations* are firms without any compliance obligations.

These three categories form the basis for two overlapping groups:

- **Compliance Entities** – All firms with compliance obligations\(^2\) and their affiliates.\(^3\) Combines the first and second of the above categories.

- **Investors** – All firms which are assessed to be purchasing for investment rather than compliance purposes. Combines the second and third of the above categories.

In Auction 49, Compliance Entities purchased 74 percent of the allowances sold. In the first 49 RGGI auctions, Compliance Entities purchased 74 percent of the allowances sold. In Auction 49, Compliance-Oriented Entities purchased 66 percent of the allowances sold.

After settlement of allowances sold in Auction 49:

- Fifty-one percent of the allowances in circulation will be held by Compliance-Oriented Entities.

- Fifty-eight percent of the allowances in circulation are believed to be held for compliance purposes. The number of allowances that are believed to be held for compliance purposes includes 100 percent of the allowances held by Compliance-Oriented Entities and a portion of allowances held by Investors with Compliance Obligations.

The following table shows the quantity of allowances purchased by each bidder. The identity of each bidder is masked, and the bidders are ranked according to the amount of allowances awarded, from largest to smallest.

---

\(^2\) The Virginia governor has signed legislation providing for it to begin participating in RGGI beginning January 2021. Since this occurred, firms owning Budget Sources in Virginia have been treated as compliance entities in our reports.

\(^3\) Affiliates are firms that: (i) have a parent-subsidiary relationship with a compliance entity, (ii) are subsidiaries of a parent company that has a large interest in a compliance entity, (iii) have substantial control over the operation of a budget source and/or responsibility for acquiring RGGI allowances to satisfy its compliance obligations.
### Table 1: Quantity of Allowances Awarded by Bidder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Number of Allowances Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 1</td>
<td>2,805,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 2</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 3</td>
<td>1,348,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 4</td>
<td>1,078,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 5</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 6</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 7</td>
<td>872,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 8</td>
<td>865,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 9</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 10</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 11</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 12</td>
<td>475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 13</td>
<td>460,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 14</td>
<td>428,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 15</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 16</td>
<td>363,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 17</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 18</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 19</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 20</td>
<td>142,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 21</td>
<td>124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 22</td>
<td>97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 23</td>
<td>95,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 24</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 25</td>
<td>61,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 26</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 27</td>
<td>39,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 28</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 29</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 30</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 31</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 32</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 33</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder 34</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. DISPERSION OF BIDS IN AUCTION 49

Bids were submitted by 43 Compliance-Oriented Entities and 12 Investors. In our review of the bids and the qualification process, we found no material evidence of anti-competitive conduct or inappropriate barriers to participation.

The following figure summarizes the quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted by each bidder. Nine Compliance-Oriented Entities and seven Investors submitted bids for a large quantity of allowances (i.e., at least 1 million tons). Overall, Compliance-Oriented Entities accounted for 55 percent of the quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted. The quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted was 2.6 times the Initial Offering. In the previous auction, the quantity of allowances for which bids were submitted was 2.0 times the Initial Offering.

The bid quantities were widely distributed among the 55 bidders. The concentration of bids, using the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (“HHI”), was relatively low at 523, somewhat higher than in recent auctions. The HHI is a standard measure of concentration calculated by squaring each entity’s percent share and then summing the squares across all entities (i.e., the index ranges from 0 to 10,000).

Figure 3: Quantity of Bids Submitted by Entity By Type of Entity and Quantity Bid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Bidders:</th>
<th>55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance-Oriented Share of Bids:</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Bids to Supply (Initial):</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHI of Bid Concentration:</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Bar chart showing the distribution of bids by quantity and type of entity.](chart.png)
D. SUMMARY OF BID PRICES IN AUCTION 49

Bids were submitted across a wide range of prices in the auction and the clearing price of $6.82 was relatively consistent with average bid prices submitted.

The following table reports several statistics regarding the bid prices for bids submitted in Auction 49. The median and mean bid prices are weighted by the quantity of each bid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid Prices:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Median)</td>
<td>$6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (Mean)</td>
<td>$6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing Price:</td>
<td>$6.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. NAMES OF POTENTIAL BIDDERS IN AUCTION 49

In accordance with Sections 2.8 and 3 of the Auction Notice for CO2 Allowance Auction 49, the Participating States are releasing the names of Potential Bidders in Auction 49. The states defined potential bidders as: “Each Applicant that has been qualified and submitted a complete Intent to Bid.” The list of 63 Potential Bidders is as follows:

Astoria Energy, LLC
Blue Delta Energy, LLC
BP Products North America Inc.
Caithness Long Island, LLC
Calpine Energy Services, LP
Carbon Lighthouse Association
Castleton Commodities Merchant Trading, LP
City of Vineland
Clay Hills Management Ltd.
Consolidated Edison Comp. of NY, Inc.
CPV Maryland, LLC
CPV Shore, LLC
CPV Towantic, LLC
CPV Valley, LLC
Cricket Valley Energy Center, LLC
Delaware City Refining Company, LLC
Dighton Power, LLC
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.
Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC
ECP Capital LP
Edgewood Energy, LLC
Empire Generating Co., LLC
Equus Power I, LP
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
Footprint Power Salem Harbor Development LP
Hawkeye Energy Greenport
Indeck Energy Serv. of Silver Springs
Indeck-Corinth Limited Partnership
Indeck-Olean Limited Partnership
Indeck-Yerkes Limited Partnership
Jamestown Board of Public Utilities
Kendall Green Energy LLC
KMC Thermo, LLC
Koch Supply & Trading, LP
Luminus Capital Partners Master Fund LP
Macquarie Energy, LLC
Mercuria Energy America, LLC
Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc.
National Grid Generation LLC dba National Grid
Nautilus Power, LLC
NextEra Energy Marketing, LLC
NRG Power Marketing LLC
Old Dominion Electric Cooperative
Pacific Investment Management Company LLC
PCAF I LLC
Pinelawn Power, LLC
Pixelle Androscoggin LLC
Power Authority of the State of New York
PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC
RBC
Revere Power, LLC
Rhode Island State Energy Center, LP
Selkirk Cogen Partners, LP
Shell Energy North America (US), LP
Shoreham Energy, LLC
Statkraft US, LLC
Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant
Tenaska Power Services Co.
Tenaska, Inc.
Village of Freeport
Virginia Electric and Power Company
Vitol Inc.
Wallingford Energy, LLC